

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,755	02/08/2000	Mehdi Asnaashari	38979-71US	3031	
7590 05/05/2005		·	EXAMINER		
Law Office Of Imam 111 N Market Street Suite 1010			WHIPKEY, JASON T		
San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2612		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>∨</del> `				
Office Action Summary		09/500,755	ASNAASHARI, MEHDI					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jason T. Whipkey	2612					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	lovember 2004.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,10-15,19,20 and 22-26 is/are pendidal Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 10-15 and 26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 19,20 and 22-25 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2000</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate in the second	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachmen	• •	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) 因 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 19 to incorporate allowed subject matter. As a result, these claims are now allowed.
- 2. Claim 26 has been amended, but does not incorporate any subject matter previously indicated as allowed. A rejection of claim 26 follows.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claims 19, 20, and 22-25 are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the digital camera system" on line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For examination purposes, line 1 of claim 19 will be treated as if it reads, "a digital camera system".

Claims 20 and 22-25 are objected to because they are dependent on claim 19 and each include wording referring to the digital camera system.

Art Unit: 2612

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for being dependent on cancelled claim 9. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claims 11-15 are rejected because they are dependent on claim 10.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terasaki (U.S. Patent No. 6,292,863) in view of Lin (U.S. Patent No. 6,427,186).

Terasaki discloses a method of transferring pictures of images taken by a digital camera between a digital camera and a computer (see column 1, lines 6-9 and 43-48), comprising:

Art Unit: 2612

providing images in digital format to the digital camera through a first interface (a traditional PCMCIA interface; see column 2, lines 2-4 and 58-65, and column 4, lines 4-6), the digital camera including a card (PC card 1) that is removably coupled to the computer for transferring the images to the computer (see column 7, lines 40-46);

receiving digital parallel images through a PCMCIA interface (45 in Figure 4; see column 13, lines 18-19);

converting the received digital parallel images to serial digital images for transfer thereof to the computer using a Universal Serial Bus interface (USB interface 44 and PCMCIA ATA interface 45 perform serial/parallel conversion and receive digital data from PC card physical layer interface 42; see Figure 4 and column 14, lines 33-38);

temporarily storing the digital images in flash memory (flash memories 41-1 through 41-3 store data that the card receives; see column 13, lines 35-36);

transferring the stored images to the computer through a second interface directly and without any intermediary device, between the digital camera and the computer (data stored on the card is transferred to a desktop PC through USB interface 43; see column 13, lines 30-34).

Terasaki is silent with regard to the digital camera interface being a PCMCIA/CF interface.

Lin discloses a memory card with a host interface 108 that complies with PCMCIA ATA and CF interface standards. An advantage to providing an interface that complies with multiple

Application/Control Number: 09/500,755 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

standards is that the memory card may be compatible with a variety of other systems. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Terasaki's PC card include a PCMCIA and CF interface.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 1 is allowed.

No prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests a memory card connectable to a digital camera via a PCMCIA/CF interface and to a personal computer via a USB interface, wherein a common logic block transfers digital images between a flash memory and (a) an interface module, and (b) a PCMCIA interface.

9. Claims 10-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Regarding each of these claims, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests a memory card connectable to a digital camera via a PCMCIA/CF interface and to a personal computer via a USB interface, wherein a common logic block transfers digital images between a flash memory and (a) an interface module, and (b) a PCMCIA interface.

10. Claims 19, 20, and 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding each of these claims, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests a memory card connectable to a digital camera via a PCMCIA/CF interface and to a personal computer via a USB interface, wherein a common logic block transfers digital images between a flash memory and (a) an interface module, and (b) a PCMCIA interface.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2612

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7321. The

Page 7

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. eastern

daylight time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached at (571) 272-7308. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Wال** wtt

April 29, 2005

WENDY R. GARDENAMINER

PETIVISOR OGY CENTER 250